

① Review of well orders

Recall that if X with \leq is a partially ordered set

and

④ for all $x, y \in X$, $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$

⑤ every subset $Y \subseteq X$ has a least element

then we say \leq is a **well order** and we

say X is **well ordered** by \leq

Note

④ isn't necessary to define a well order
as take $\{x, y\} \subseteq X$

$\{x, y\}$ must have a least element

if x is the least element then $x \leq y$

if y is the least element then $y \leq x$

Note If a partial order satisfies (4) (but not necessarily (5))
we say it is a **total order**

We saw that ω is well ordered by the usual \leq

eg Consider $\omega \times \omega$

What ordering shall we use?

(a) say $(a, b) \leq (c, d)$ if $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$

eg $(1, 3) \leq (2, 867)$

$(1, 3) \not\leq (4, 2)$

Is $\omega \times \omega$ well ordered?

Answer no eg $\{(1, 3), (4, 2)\}$
has no least element

(b) say $(a, b) \leq (c, d)$ if $a < c$
or
 $a = c$ and $b \leq d$

this is called **lexicographic order** because it is how
we put things in alphabetical order

Is $\omega \times \omega$ well ordered?

yes let $X \subseteq \omega \times \omega$

let $Y \subseteq X$ be the pairs $(a, b) \in X$
such that for all $(c, d) \in X$
 $a \leq c$
(no restriction on d)

$Y \neq \emptyset$ since ω is well ordered

but then the elements of Y differ only in
the second coordinate, so forget the
first coordinate and since ω is well ordered
we get a least element of Y

which is here also a least element of X

② Transfinite induction

Well ordered sets have the nice property that we have a notion of induction. First we need the following definition

Definition let X with \leq be a partially ordered set.
Take $a \in S$. The set

$$S(a) = \{x \in X : x < a\}$$

is called the **initial segment** of a

Let X be a well ordered set and let $S \subseteq X$

if for all $x \in X$

$s(x) \subseteq S$ implies $x \in S$

Then $S = X$

This is called the **principle of transfinite induction**

First lets see that this is true and then see what we can do with it

To check the fact: suppose S has the property but $S \neq X$. Then $X - S$ is not empty. But X is well ordered so $X - S$ has a least element x . Since x is least in $X - S$ $s(x) \subseteq S$. But then $x \in S$ contradiction.

How does this relate to the principle of mathematical induction which we have already seen?

let $X = \omega$

First note that transfinite induction checks the base case automatically

Take $0 \in \omega$

$s(0) = \emptyset$ so $s(0) \subseteq S$. Thus $0 \in S$

we don't need a separate statement to check the base case—it is built in

Next note that for $X = \omega$ transfinite induction is strong induction, we must assume the whole initial segment of x to conclude the result for x .

The usual principle of mathematical induction is weak induction, we just assume $n-1$ to get n .

For ω strong and weak induction have the same power (so it is silly to make the distinction)

But for other well ordered sets transfinite induction is necessary

eg let $X = \omega^+ = \omega \cup \{\omega\}$

use the usual ordering on ω along with $n < \omega$ for $n \in \omega$

This is a well ordering

Suppose we try to use the old principle of mathematical induction on X . What goes wrong?

For the break

Can you find an $S \subsetneq \omega^+$
with $0 \in S$ and for all $n \in S$, $n^+ \in S$?

answer

Transfinite induction fixes this problem

$$s(\omega) = \omega \subseteq S$$

so we must have $\omega \in S$, hence $S = X$

③ Ordinals

We had

0

$$0^+ = 1$$

$$1^+ = 2$$

$$2^+ = 3$$

⋮

all together this

$$\text{is } \omega = \{0, 1, \dots\}$$

Now consider

$$\omega, \omega^+, (\omega^+)^+, ((\omega^+)^+)^+$$

where does this go?

is there something beyond all this the
same way ω is beyond all natural
numbers?

Suppose f is a function with domain \mathbb{N}

Say f is an ω -successor function

$$\text{if } f(0) = \omega$$

$$\omega \quad f(m^+) = (f(m))^+$$

$$\text{eg } n=3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

$$f(0) = \omega$$

$$f(1) = f(0^+) = (f(0))^+ = \omega^+$$

$$f(2) = f(1^+) = (f(1))^+ = (\omega^+)^+$$

In fact for each n there is a unique ω -successor function

Suppose f and g were both ω -successor functions with domain n

- $f(0) = g(0) = \omega$
- let $i \in n$ be the smallest number for which $f(i) \neq g(i)$.
- $i > 0$ so $i = j^+$ for some $j \in n$
but $f(j) = g(j)$ since i was minimal
so $f(i) = f(j^+) = (f(j))^+ = (g(j))^+ = g(j^+) = g(i)$
contradiction

Thus f is unique.

What we want is to join all these things together

Let $S(n, x)$ be the property

" $n \in \omega$ and x is in the range of an ω -successor function with domain n "

in logic

$n \in \omega \wedge \exists f \left(\left(f \text{ is an } \omega\text{-successor function with domain } n \right) \wedge \exists a (a, x) \in f \right)$

This is short

for

$\forall z \forall t \forall u$

$((z \in f \wedge z = (t, u))$

$\leftrightarrow \text{rest})$

where "f is an ω -successor function with domain n "

can be written

$\forall (t, u) \in f \left[(t=0 \wedge u=\omega) \vee (t \in n) \wedge \exists y \exists w (y^+ = t \wedge (y, w) \in f \wedge w^+ = u) \right]$

ω -successor property

$\wedge \forall v ((f, v) \in f \leftrightarrow v = \omega)$

function property

The set we are looking for is

$$\{x : \exists \text{new } (S(n, x))\}$$

We only know this is a set by using the axiom of replacement

Intuitively S is acting like a function

F with domain ω defined by

$$F(n) = \{x : S(n, x)\}$$

We want to know

either (a) F actually is a function in the set theoretic sense

or (b) The image of any $X \subseteq \omega$ under F is a set

These are equivalent. If F is a set theoretic function then its range is a set and so by subset selection so is the image of any $X \subseteq \omega$

On the other hand if all the images are sets, then its range Y is a set and so $\mathcal{P}(\omega \times Y)$ is a set and so we can pull out f by subset selection

(b) would come from Cohen's version of the axiom of replacement

(a) is Halmos' version which he calls the axiom of substitution

Axiom of Substitution

If $S(a, b)$ is a sentence such that for each $a \in A$ the set $\{b : S(a, b)\}$ can be formed, then there exists a function F with domain A such that $F(a) = \{b : S(a, b)\}$ for each $a \in A$

Our use of the axiom of substitution will be, as above to extend our ability to count beyond ω, ω_1, \dots

So we have

$0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$\omega, \omega^+, (\omega^+)^+, ((\omega^+)^+)^+, \dots$ (*)

and by the above we can define a set theoretic function

F with domain ω such that

$$F(0) = \omega, \quad F(n^+) = (F(n))^+$$

let X be the range of F

Then the next number after the ones in (*) is

$$X \cup \omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \omega, \omega^+, (\omega^+)^+, \dots\}$$

We want to all that work with the axiom
of replacement just to check that
 $X \cup \omega$ really is a set

What are these new bigger counting "numbers"

ordinals

Definition An ordinal is a well ordered set S such that for all $x \in S$ $s(x) = x$

eg lets check 3 is an ordinal

$$3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$$

use the usual order $0 < 1 < 2$

this is a well order (check)

Now check the ordinal property

$$0: s(0) = \emptyset, 0 = \emptyset$$

$$1: s(1) = \{0\}, 1 = \{0\}$$

$$2: s(2) = \{0, 1\}, 2 = \{0, 1\}$$

so it works

Likewise every natural number is an ordinal.

eg check ω is an ordinal.

Again use the usual order which we already noticed is a well order

Take $n \in \omega$

then $s(n) = \{0, \dots, n-1\} = n$

So ω is an ordinal.

Two useful facts

① If X is an ordinal then X^+ is an ordinal

proof Use the order on X^+ given by

for $x, y \in X$, $x \leq y$ in X^+ if and only if $x \leq y$ in X

for $x \in X$, $x \leq X$ in X^+

This is a well order as if $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq X^+$

then if $X \in \mathcal{Y}$, \mathcal{Y} has a least element since X was well ordered

and if $X \notin \mathcal{Y}$ then either $\mathcal{Y} = \{X\}$ with least element X

or $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}' \cup \{X\}$ where $\mathcal{Y}' \subseteq X$ has a least element which is hence a least element of \mathcal{Y} .

Finally we can check the ordinal property.

Take $x \in X^+$. If $x \in X$ we already knew $s(x) = x$

If $x = X$ then $s(x) = X$

② Let X be a set. There is at most one well order which makes X into an ordinal

proof Suppose there is a well order which makes X into an ordinal. Take any other well order of X at least one $x \in X$ has a different initial segment in the new order
but $s(x) = x$ in the old order
so $s(x) \neq x$ in the new order
thus the new order does not make X into an ordinal.

④ Next time

More on ordinals

please read Halmos section 20