

# Math 800 Commutative Algebra Notes: September 11

Brad Jones

December 9, 2013

## 1 Universal algebra (con't)

Last time we saw the three isomorphism theorems for universal algebra. Now we shall translate them into their ring theory counterparts:

The first isomorphism theorem is straightforward to translate:

**Theorem 1.1** (1<sup>st</sup> isomorphism theorem for rings). *Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a homomorphism of rings. Then there exists an injective map  $g : A/\text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow B$  such that:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \nu \searrow & & \nearrow g \\ & A/\text{Ker}(f) & \end{array}$$

*commutes and if  $f$  is onto then  $g$  is onto.*

The second states:

**Theorem 1.2** (2<sup>nd</sup> isomorphism theorem for rings). *Let  $A$  be a ring and  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of  $A$  with  $J \subseteq I$  then*

$$(A/J)/(I/J) \cong A/I.$$

In particular there is a bijection between the set of ideals of  $A/I$  and the set of ideals of  $A$  containing  $I$ .

Now we shall show how this relates to the 2<sup>nd</sup> isomorphism theorem for universal algebra.

For a ring  $A$  and  $\theta$  a congruence over  $A$  we consider  $I = \{0\}/\theta$ . Take  $a, b \in I$  then  $a\theta 0$  and  $b\theta 0$  so  $(a + b)\theta 0$  and  $a + b \in I$ . Also if  $a \in I$  and  $r \in R$  then  $a\theta 0$  and  $r\theta r$  so  $ra\theta 0$  and  $ra \in I$ . Therefore  $I$  is an ideal.

Conversely if  $I$  is an ideal we can define  $\theta$  by  $a\theta b$  if and only if  $a - b \in I$ . It can then be shown that  $\theta$  is a congruence.

To translate the 3<sup>rd</sup> we need to translate  $\theta|_B$  and  $B^\theta$  for a subring,  $B$ , of  $A$  and congruence,  $\theta$ , of  $A$ .

Taking  $I = \{0\}/\theta$ , we can see that  $I \cap B = \{0\}/\theta|_B$ .

By definition  $B^\theta$  is the set of all equivalence classes of  $A$  which contain an element of  $B$ . This means we want all the elements in each  $b + I$  for  $b \in B$ . In other words  $B^\theta = B + I$ .

We also note that  $\{0\}/\theta|_{B^\theta} = \{0\}/\theta$  because we only took whole equivalence classes.

So we get:

**Theorem 1.3** (3<sup>rd</sup> isomorphism theorem for rings). *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $I$  be an ideal of  $A$  and  $B$  be a subring of  $A$  then*

$$B/I \cap B \cong B + I/I.$$

## 2 Modules

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring. A *left  $R$ -module* is an abelian group  $(M, +)$  and a product  $R \times M \rightarrow M$  satisfying

- $1a = a$
- $(r_1 r_2)a = r_1(r_2 a)$
- $(r_1 + r_2)a = r_1 a + r_2 a$
- $r(a + b) = ra + rb$

Some examples:

**Example 2.2.** If  $R$  happens to be a field then a left  $R$ -module is a vector over  $R$ .

**Example 2.3.** If  $M \subseteq R$  then  $M$  is a left  $R$ -module if and only if  $M$  is a left ideal.

**Example 2.4.** Abelian groups are  $\mathbb{Z}$  modules by defining

$$ng = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n g & \text{if } n > 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^n (-g) & \text{if } n < 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Example 2.5.** If  $R$  is a subring of  $S$  then  $S$  is a left  $R$ -module by using multiplication in  $S$ .

We can see that  $R$ -modules are algebraic structures in the view of universal algebra. Take  $(M, +, -, \{m_r\}_{r \in R})$  together with the axioms for Abelian groups and the four axioms in Definition 2.1. This gives us the definition for *submodule* and module *homomorphism*, *isomorphism*, *epimorphism* and *homomorphism*. We also know that the three isomorphism theorems hold.

Note: We shall write  $N \leq M$  to mean that an  $R$ -module,  $N$ , is a submodule of an  $R$ -module,  $M$ .

The three isomorphism theorems for modules are:

**Theorem 2.6** (1<sup>st</sup> isomorphism theorem for modules). *Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules then there exists an injective map  $g : M/\text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow N$  and if  $f$  is onto then  $g$  is onto.*

**Theorem 2.7** (2<sup>nd</sup> isomorphism theorem for modules). *Let  $A, B, C$  be  $R$ -modules with  $C \leq B \leq A$  then*

$$(A/C)/(B/C) \cong A/B.$$

**Theorem 2.8** (3<sup>rd</sup> isomorphism theorem for modules). *Let  $A, B, C$  be  $R$ -modules with  $B \leq A$  and  $C \leq A$  then*

$$B/B \cap C \cong B+C/C.$$

If  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $R$ -modules then so are  $M_1 + M_2$  and  $M_1 \cap M_2$ .

If  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a chain of submodules of  $M$  then  $\cup_{i \in I} M_i$  is a submodule of  $M$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a collection of submodules of  $M$ . Define  $\sum_{i \in I} M_i$  to be the set of all finite sums of elements of the  $M_i$ .

We can see that  $\sum_{i \in I} M_i$  is a submodule of  $M$  and also that  $\sum_{i \in I} M_i$  is the smallest submodule of  $M$  containing all every  $M_i$ .

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. For any  $a \in M$  there is an  $R$ -module homomorphism:

$$f_a : \begin{array}{l} R \rightarrow M \\ r \rightarrow ra \end{array}$$

### 3 Exact sequences and commutative diagrams

**Definition 3.1.** A sequence of homomorphism of modules:

$$\cdots \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow \cdots$$

is *exact* at  $B$  if  $f(A) = \text{Ker}(g)$ .

A sequence is *exact* if it is exact at each intermediate module.

**Example 3.2.**

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

is exact if and only if  $0 = \text{Ker}(f)$ , meaning  $f$  is a monomorphism.

**Example 3.3.**

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow 0$$

is exact if and only if  $f(A) = B$ , meaning  $f$  is an epimorphism.

**Example 3.4.**

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow 0$$

is exact if and only if it is exact at  $A$  and exact at  $B$ , meaning  $f$  is an isomorphism.

**Definition 3.5.** A exact sequence of the form:

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$$

is called a *short exact sequence*.

For a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$$

- exactness at  $A$  means that  $f$  is a monomorphism,
- exactness at  $C$  means that  $g$  is an epimorphism and
- exactness at  $B$  means that  $f(A) = \text{Ker}(g)$ .

This means by the 1<sup>st</sup> isomorphism theorem that  $B/f(A) = B/\text{Ker}(g) = C$ .

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X, V, W$  be vector spaces and

$$0 \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{f} W \xrightarrow{g} X \rightarrow 0$$

be exact. Let  $A$  be the matrix of  $f$  ( $f(v) = Av$ ) and Let  $B$  be the matrix of  $g$  ( $g(w) = Aw$ ).

Then  $\text{Col}(A) = \text{Nul}(B)$ . Since  $\dim(\text{Col}(A)) = \text{rank}(A) = \dim(f(V)) = \dim(V)$  and  $\dim(\text{Nul}(B)) = \text{nullity}(B)$ , we have  $\text{nullity}(B) = \dim(V)$ . By the rank-nullity theorem we have that  $\text{nullity}(B) + \text{rank}(B) = \dim(W)$ . Since  $\text{rank}(B) = \dim(\text{Col}(B)) = \dim(g(W)) = \dim(X)$  we have that

$$\dim(W) = \dim(V) + \dim(X).$$

Now we will look at commutative diagrams which are a kind of arrow picture.

A *commutative diagram* is a digraph with a module at each vertex, a homomorphism compatible with each of its endpoints' modules for each directed edge and for any two paths between from vertex  $M$  to vertex  $N$ , the composition of homomorphism along each path give the same homomorphism.

**Example 3.7.** Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ U & \xrightarrow{j} & V \end{array}$$

If the diagram above commutes then  $g \circ f = j \circ h$ .

**Example 3.8.** Another example of a commutative diagram is the diagram in the 1<sup>st</sup> isomorphism theorem.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \nu \searrow & & \nearrow g \\ & A/\text{Ker}(f) & \end{array}$$